



5. General Information and Other Material Facts

5.1 General information



The Company name

Advanced Info Service Public Company Limited

Symbol for trading

ADVANC

Stock exchange market

SET

Industry

Technology

Sector

Information and
Communication Technology

American Depositary Receipt

ADR ticker symbol : AVIFY

Exchange : Over The Counter (OTC)

Depository

: The Bank of New York Mellon

ADR to ORD share ratio

: 1:1

ADR CUSIP number

: 00753G103



Registered date on the SET

5 November 1991



Registered capital

4,997,459,800 Baht

Paid-up capital

2,974,209,736 Baht

Comprising of

2,974,209,736 ordinary shares

(as of 31 December 2024)

Market capitalization

853,598 MTHB

(As of 30 December 2024)

Par Value

1 Baht



Total shareholders 45,061

(as of 20 August 2024, the latest book closing date for the rights to receive dividend)

Type of business

Operate telecommunication business including mobile network service, fixed broadband service, and digital services.

Registered No.

: 0107535000265

Corporate website

: <https://www.ais.co.th>

IR Website

: <https://investor.ais.co.th>

Head office

414 Phaholyothin Road, Samsen Nai, Phayathai, Bangkok 10400



Telephone (66) 209 5000



Fax (66) 209 5165



Foreign Limit 48.30%



General information

Securities registrar

Name of securities registrar : Thailand Securities Depository Co., Ltd.

Address/location : 93 Ratchadaphisek Road

Subdistrict : Din Daeng

District : Din Daeng

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10400

Telephone : 02-009-9000

Facsimile number : 02-009-9991

Name of bondholder's representative : BANK OF AYUDHYA PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Address/location : 1222 Rama III Road

Subdistrict : Bang Phongphang

District : Yan Nawa

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10120

Telephone : 1572

Name of bondholder's representative : BANGKOK BANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Address/location : 333 Silom Road

Subdistrict : Si Lom

District : Bang Rak

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10500

Telephone : 0-2231-4333

Facsimile number : 0-2231-4742

Bond registrar

Name of bond registrar : BANK OF AYUDHYA PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Address/location : 1222 Rama III Road

Subdistrict : Bang Phongphang

District : Yan Nawa

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10120

Telephone : 1572

Name of bond registrar : BANGKOK BANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Address/location : 333 Silom Road

Subdistrict : Si Lom

District : Bang Rak

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10500

Telephone : 0-2231-4333

Facsimile number : 0-2231-4742



Auditing firm

Name of auditing firm* : KPMG PHOOMCHAI AUDIT COMPANY LIMITED

Address/location : -

Subdistrict : YAN NAWA

District : SATHON

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10120

Telephone : 0 2677 2000

Facsimile number : 0 2677 2222

List of auditors : Mr SAKDA KAOTHANTHONG

License number : 4628

List of auditors : Mr SUMATE JANGSAMSEE

License number : 9362

List of auditors : Miss VIPAVAN PATTAVANVIVEK

License number : 4795

Legal advisor or manager under management agreement

Name of legal advisor / manager under management agreement No. 1

Name of legal advisor / manager under management : Chandler MHM Limited
agreement

Address/location : 17th and 36th Floors, Sathorn Square Office Tower, 98 North
Sathorn Road

Subdistrict : Silom

District : Bangrak

Province : Bangkok

Postcode : 10500

Telephone : 02-009-5000



5.2 Other material facts

5.2.1 Other information that may significantly influence investors' decision making

Other information that may influence investors' decision making : No

5.2.2 Restrictions of foreign shareholders

Are there restrictions on foreign shareholders? : No



5.3 Legal disputes

Legal disputes

Is there any legal dispute? : Yes

Details of legal dispute

Year of incident	Details	Progress status
2020	Case name Usage fees of NT's space and assets during Subscriber Protection Period Plaintiff Plaintiff: NT (TOT) / Defendant: AIS	
	Dispute No. 1 <u>Duration (approximate)</u> Dec 2031 <u>Dispute description</u> NT filed a lawsuit with the Central Administrative Court for AIS to pay for NT's space and property usage during the period (30 September 2015-30 June 2016) of the user protection measures for mobile phone service with 900 MHz system amounting to 171.48 million baht, including VAT and interest at the rate of 1.25% per month, totaling 233.45 million baht. <u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u> On 30 June 2020, NT filed a lawsuit with the Central Administrative Court. The dispute is pending consideration of the Central Administrative Court. <u>Additional details</u> The management believes that AIS complied with all the related terms and Notifications of NBTC; thus, the result of the case should not significantly affect its financial statement.	In progress
2019	Case name The case of claiming for damages from the termination of the printing contract Plaintiff Plaintiff: Papermate (Thailand) and Defendant: Mimotech (MMT)	
	Dispute No. 1 <u>Duration (approximate)</u>	End of dispute



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>Apr 2024</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>Paper Mate (Thailand) Company Limited filed a lawsuit against Mimotech to the Civil Court claiming for damages from the event that Mimotech terminated the printing contract due to Paper Mate bringing third-party into the workplace without prior authorization. The requested damages and lack of income were in the amount of 280 million baht.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 18 April 2024, the Supreme Court dismissed the case. The case reached its final verdict.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>-</p>	
2018	<p>Case name</p> <p>Additional remuneration from the rental fees of fiber cable and the rental fees from leased line</p> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Plaintiff: NT (TOT) and Defendant: AIS</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2029</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>NT submitted the dispute to the Arbitration Tribunal for the decision requesting additional payment from AIS from the rental fees of fiber cable and from leased line which AIS received from service providers subject to the 5th Amendment of the Concession Agreement during the 4th quarter of 2012 to the 3rd quarter of 2015 in the total amount of 1,121.91 million baht with VAT and interest rate of 1.25 per month, calculating from the NT's rental fees which were higher than AIS' rental fees.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 19 December 2022, AIS received an award from the Arbitration Tribunal to dismiss NT's claim on the grounds that the dispute was a dispute about compliance with the NBTC announcement and not a dispute regarding the Concession Agreement. The Arbitration Tribunal has no jurisdiction, therefore, still no ground to determine that AIS breached the contract. On 16 March 2023, NT submitted a request to the Central Administrative</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>Court to overturn the Arbitration Tribunal's decision. The case is under consideration of the Central Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>Although the dispute has not been settled, the management considers that the result of the above verdict should not significantly affect AIS's financial statement.</p>	
2018	<p>Case name</p> <p>Remuneration from joint use of network</p> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Plaintiff: NT (TOT) and Defendant: AIS</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Jul 2024</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>NT submitted the dispute to the Arbitration Tribunal requesting the additional payment from AIS for allowing AWN to jointly use the network with the discount of joint network usage fees without prior consent from NT during July 2013 to September 2015 in the total amount of 16,252.66 million baht including VAT and interest.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 20 December 2023, the Central Administrative Court dismissed NT's request to overturn the decision. On 12 July 2024, the Supreme Administrative Court dismissed NT's request to overturn the decision since the case is prohibited to appeal subject to Section 45 of the Arbitration Act. This case reached its final verdict.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>-</p>	End of dispute
2017	<p>Case name</p> <p>Revocation of the resolution from NTC which ordered AIS to pay the revenue during the subscriber protection period</p> <p>Defendant</p> <p>Plaintiff: AIS and Defendant: the Office of NBTC, NBTC, NTC</p>	



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2025</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>AIS filed a lawsuit against NBTC Office, NBTC and NTC to the Central Administrative Court to revoke the order from NBTC Office and resolution from NTC which ordered AIS to pay the revenue during the subscriber protection period after the expiration of Concession Agreement from 1 October 2015 – 30 June 2016 in accordance with the NBTC Re: The Temporary Customer Protection Plan in the amount of 7,221 million baht including default interest until the date of filing in the amount of 36.74 million baht. Because AIS had higher expenses than revenue during the protection period of subscribers on 900 MHz frequency, there was no remaining revenue to be paid to the NBTC Office.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 12 Jun 2020, the Central Administrative Court passed the judgment to revoke the NBTC committee's resolution for the reason that during the protection period for 900 MHz users, AIS had higher expenses for service than the income received.</p> <p>On July 8, 2020, NBTC filed an appeal to the Supreme Administrative Court. The case is under the consideration of the Supreme Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>The management believes that the Company already acted in accordance with the scope of the agreement and the Notifications from NBTC. Therefore, the result of such dispute should be positive and should not significantly affect its financial statement.</p>	In progress
2017	<p>Case name</p> <p>Revocation of the order of NTC ordering in case of violation of the order to amend the transfer of the subscriber</p> <p>Defendant</p> <p>Plaintiff: AWN and Defendant: the Office of NBTC, NBTC and NTC</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2030</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>AWN filed a lawsuit to the Central Administrative Court seeking to revoke</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>the administrative order of NBTC against AWN for violation of the order to amend the transfer of the Subscribers (1) during the period of 18 September 2015-29 October 2015 for 41 days in the amount of 5.4 million baht per day totaling 221.4 million baht (first case) and (2) between 18 September 2015 - 7 January 2016, for 112 days in the amount of 5.4 million baht per day, totaling 604.87 million baht (second case).</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 26 August 2020, and 20 September 2024, the Central Administrative Court passed the judgment to revoke an administrative order for the portion of the amount exceeding 20,000 baht per day, respectively. The submission to the Supreme Administrative Court were done by NBTC and AWN. The case is currently under consideration by the Supreme Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>The management believes that the Company already acted in accordance with the scope of the agreement and the Notifications from NBTC. Therefore, the result of such dispute should be positive and should not significantly affect its financial statement.</p>	
2016	<p>Case name</p> <p>Demand payment for leased lines and other contracts</p> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Plaintiff: TT&T and Defendant: TTTBB</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2025</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>On 7 December 2021, the official receiver in the bankruptcy case of TT&T submitted the debt confirmation document to TTTBB requesting for the payment of 1,152 million Baht with the interest in the amount of 723 million Baht.</p> <p>On 15 August 2022, TTTBB filed the petition against the major amount of debts to the Central Bankruptcy Court.</p> <p>On 10 May 2023, the Central Bankruptcy Court ordered that some debt items of TTTBB to be written off specifically the Debt number 6 in the amount of 1,977,013.38 Baht, Debt number 8 in the amount of 163,874.45 Baht and Debt number 10 in the amount of 646,156,969.75 Baht subject to the debt confirmation document. As a result, the remaining debt amount (principal) that TTTBB has to repay is 75.56 million Baht. The official receiver appealed the order by the Central Bankruptcy Court.</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 10 June 2024, the Court confirmed the order by the Central Bankruptcy Court to dismiss TTTBB from the debt number 6 (partially), debt number 8 and 10. In the verdict by the Court of Appeal for Specialized Case to partially amend the debt number 6. The remaining debt amount that TTTBB has to repay is comprised of 3 debts in the total amount of 100,000 Baht approximately.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>-</p>	
2015	<p>Case name</p> <p>Request for additional remuneration regarding the 6th and 7th amendments to the Concession Agreement</p> <p>Defendant</p> <p>Plaintiff: AIS and Defendant: NT (TOT)</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2027</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>AIS submitted a dispute to the Arbitration Tribunal regarding the 6th and 7th amendments to the 900 Concession Agreement. AIS claimed that the said amendments bound AIS and NT, and AIS had no obligation to pay for the additional remuneration of operation in the 11th-25th year in the amount of 72,036 million baht as per NT's claim that such amendments were conducted illegally and had caused NT to receive lower remuneration than the rate as specified in the Concession Agreement. After that, NT submitted the dispute to the Arbitration Tribunal requesting for AIS to pay for the additional remuneration.</p> <p>On 29 January 2020, the Arbitration Tribunal made a majority decision ordering AIS to make additional payment of operational year 20th to year 25th, in the amount of 31,076 million baht plus an interest at the rate of 1.25% per month to NT commencing from 30 November 2015 until the payment is made in full.</p> <p>On 22 April 2020, AIS submitted the case to the Central Administrative Court for the revocation of the Arbitration Tribunal's award</p> <p>On 23 April 2020, NT proceeded to submit the case to the Central Administrative Court for the revocation of Arbitration Tribunal's award only for the issue that the Arbitral Tribunal decided that AIS did not pay the full benefit according to the contract which was absent from the 11th year to the 19th year (for the amount of 31,698 million baht).</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 26 July 2022, the Central Administrative Court decided to revoke the decision by the Arbitration Tribunal per the request of AIS for the reason that the additional 6th and 7th amendments to the Concession agreement still have the binding effect on the parties, as a result, AIS is not obligated to pay the benefits requested by NT.</p> <p>On 24 August 2022, NT submitted an appeal to the Supreme Administrative Court.</p> <p>Both cases are now under the consideration of the Supreme Central Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>Although the dispute has not been settled, the management considers that the result of the above verdict should not significantly affect AIS's financial statement.</p>	
2015	<p>Case name</p> <p>Usage fees and revenue from the use of the telecommunication equipment and telecommunication network of CAT during the temporary customer protection p</p> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Plaintiff: NT (CAT) and Defendant: DPC</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2028</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>NT submitted a lawsuit to the Central Administrative Court demanding NBTC Office, NTC, NBTC, True Move and DPC to pay usage fees and revenue from the usage of the telecommunication equipment and telecommunication network of NT . The usage in question occurred during the temporary customer protection period, subject to the NBTC Re: the Temporary Customer Protection Plan Following the Expiration of the Concession Agreement or Telecommunication Service Agreement, calculated from 16 September 2013 to 15 September 2014. The total amount collectively demanded from NBTC Office, NTC and NBTC, True Move and DPC was 6,083 million baht including interest at the rate of 7.5 per annum. (First Case)</p> <p>On 11 September 2015, NT submitted a request to the Central Administrative Court claiming for the additional usage fees and revenue from the usage of NT's telecommunication equipment and telecommunication network calculated from 16 September 2014 to 17 July 2015. The total amount demanded</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>collectively from DPC, NBTC Office, NTC and NBTC was 1,635 million baht including interest at the rate of 7.5 per annum. (Second Case)</p> <p>On 27 May 2016, NT submitted a request demanding the defendants including DPC, NBTC Office, NTC, and NBTC to pay additional usage fees / revenue from usage of NT's telecommunication equipment and network from 18 July 2015 to 25 November 2015 in the amount of 673 million baht including interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum. (Third Case)</p> <p>On 24 September 2019, NT submitted a request revision to reduce the former remuneration amounts during the 3 periods, from 6,083 million baht, 1,635 baht million, and 673 million baht to 1,457 million baht, 1,223 million baht, and 522 million baht respectively.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 29 April 2022, the Central Administrative Court dismissed the case against DPC during 16 September 2013 – 17 July 2015 (first and second case) due to the provision of services during the service user protection period is considered an operation on behalf of the NBTC according to the announcement of measures to protect service users, not the operation under the Concession Agreement. When DPC has deducted the cost of providing services during the user protection period, and there was not enough money left, DPC was not liable to pay network fees to NT.</p> <p>On 28 December 2022, the Central Administrative Court dismissed the case against DPC from 18 July 2015 to 25 November 2015 (third case) for the same reason.</p> <p>On 30 May 2022, NT filed an appeal to the Central Administrative Court for the period 16 September 2013 – 17 July 2015 (first and second cases).</p> <p>On 26 January 2023, NT filed an appeal to the Central Administrative Court for the period 18 July 2015 – 25 November 2015 (third case).</p> <p>All cases are pending under the consideration of the Supreme Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>The management believes that DPC already acted in accordance with the scope of the agreement and the Notifications from NBTC. Therefore, the result of such dispute should be positive and should not significantly affect its financial statement.</p>	
2015	<p>Case name</p> <p>Revocation of the resolution from NBTC which ordered AIS to pay the minimum revenue during the subscriber protection period</p> <p>Defendant</p> <p>Plaintiff: AIS and Defendant: NBTC</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p>	End of dispute



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>Apr 2024</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>AIS filed a lawsuit to the Central Administrative Court requesting the revocation of the announcement of NBTC Re: Temporary Subscribers' Protection (2nd Issue). After the Expiration of the Concession period, the new announcement specified additional conditions for paying revenue during the remedy period to be no less than the share incurred subject to the 900MHz Concession Agreement based on the fact that such new announcement was unfair and enforced selectively compared with the previous announcement which did not specify such condition.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 25 April 2024, the Central Administrative Court has read the order from the Supreme Administrative Court to dismiss the case since the Supreme Administrative Court under the red case number Or Ror.285/2566 between CAT-NBTC already provided the verdict to dismiss the announcement regarding the minimum revenue submission.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>-</p>	
2015	<p>Case name</p> <p>Revocation of the resolution and order of NTC to pay the revenue arising from the mobile service charges within the temporary customer protection period</p> <p>Defendant</p> <p>Plaintiff: DPC and Defendant: the Office of NBTC, NBTC and NTC</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2025</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>On 16 September 2016, NBTC and the Secretary General of NBTC filed a lawsuit to the Central Administrative Court requesting that DPC pay a share of revenue generated during the remedy period. Such period commenced from the coming into effect of the Subscribers Protection Period subject to the NBTC's announcement regarding the Temporary Customer Protection Plan B.E.2013 and lasted until the date of the order issued by the National Council for Peace and Order (NCPO). This period covered 16 September 2013 to 17 July 2014. The requested amount was 628 million baht including the incurred interest.</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>DPC submitted a lawsuit to the Central Administrative Court requesting the revocation of the resolution and order of NBTC to pay the revenue arising from the mobile service charges within the temporary customer protection period. The period in question followed the announcement of the NBTC Re: The Temporary Customer Protection Plan B.E.2013 and covered 16 September 2013 to 17 July 2014 (First Period). The amount requested was 628 million baht and all interest accrued therefrom. In addition, for the period of 18 July 2014 to 25 November 2015, the amount was 241 million baht. The total amount was 869.51 million.</p> <p>The Central Administrative Court ordered that all proceedings be consolidated.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 30 Mar 2022, the Central Administrative Court reached its judgment which was to revoke the resolution no. 17/2558, dated 13 August 2015, ordering the company to deliver the amount was 627 million baht and resolution no. 17/2561, dated 5 September B.E. 2018, ordering the company to deliver additional payment in the amount of 241 million baht, and also dismiss of the lawsuit filed by the NBTC that claimed for the damages in the amount of 628 million baht with interest.</p> <p>On 28 April 2022, NBTC filed an appeal to the Supreme Administrative Court. The case is pending under the consideration of the Supreme Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>The management believes that the Company already acted in accordance with the scope of the agreement and the Notifications from NBTC. Therefore, the result of such dispute should be positive and should not significantly affect its financial statement.</p>	
2014	<p>Case name</p> <p>Porting of subscribers from 900 MHz system to 3G 2100 MHz by AWN</p> <p>Plaintiff</p> <p>Plaintiff: NT (TOT) and Defendant: AIS</p>	
	<p>Dispute No. 1</p> <p><u>Duration (approximate)</u></p> <p>Dec 2028</p> <p><u>Dispute description</u></p> <p>NT submitted a dispute to the Arbitration Tribunal to decide for the suspension of AIS' porting of subscribers to AWN by electronics method on the basis that such action was the breach of concession agreement and claimed for the damages in the amount of 9,126 million baht (from May 2013 to June 2014) with interest rate of 7.5 per annum.</p>	In progress



Year of incident	Details	Progress status
	<p>On 25 March 2016, NT submitted the request to amend the amount of damages by calculating from May 2013 until the expiration date of 900 concession agreement (30 September 2015) in the amount of 32,813 million baht.</p> <p><u>Outcome of the dispute / Progress of the dispute</u></p> <p>On 14 February 2019, the arbitration tribunal decided to dismiss all of NT's disputes for the reason that AIS did not breach the 900 concession agreement as claimed.</p> <p>On 22 September 2023, the Central Administrative Court rejected NT's request to overturn the decision.</p> <p>On October 18, 2023, NT appealed to the Supreme Administrative Court against the Central Administrative Court's decision. The case is still under consideration of the Supreme Central Administrative Court.</p> <p><u>Additional details</u></p> <p>AIS management believes that AIS has complied with all of the relating terms, and the case should not significantly affect its financial statement.</p>	

5.4 Secondary market

Secondary market

Has the company's security been listed on a stock exchange in : No
another country?



5.5 Financial institution with regular contact (only in case of debt securities offeror)

Financial institution with regular contact

Are there any debt securities offered? : Yes

Financial institution 1

Financial institution with regular contact : BANK OF AYUDHYA PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Information on the financial institution with regular contact : 1222 Rama III Road, Bang Phongphang, Yan Nawa, Bangkok 10120
Telephone : 1572

Financial institution 2

Financial institution with regular contact : BANGKOK BANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Information on the financial institution with regular contact : 333 Silom Road, Si Lom, Bang Rak, Bangkok 10500
Telephone : 0-2231-4333

Financial institution 3

Financial institution with regular contact : KRUNG THAI BANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Information on the financial institution with regular contact : 35 Sukhumvit Road, Khlong Toei Nuea, Watthana, Bangkok 10110
Telephone : 0-2255-2222

Financial institution 4

Financial institution with regular contact : KASIKORNBANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Information on the financial institution with regular contact : 400/22 Phahon Yothin Road, Sam Sen Nai, Phaya Thai, Bangkok 10400
Telephone : 0-2273-1050-55, 0-2273-1060-4, 0-2273-1073-6

Financial institution 5

Financial institution with regular contact : THE SIAM COMMERCIAL BANK PUBLIC COMPANY LIMITED

Information on the financial institution with regular contact : 9 Rutchadapisek Road, Chatuchak, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900
Telephone : 0-2544-1000